

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Donnell P. Pugh,

Plaintiff

v.

Attorney General, et al,

Defendants

2:14-cv-00752-JAD-VCF

**Order *Sua Sponte* Extending
Time for Reply**

In this habeas-corpus action, the respondents filed their answer¹ on August 28, 2015. The petitioner, Nevada prisoner Donnell P. Pugh, was then due to file a reply no later than October 15, 2015.² Pugh did not file a reply.

Taking into consideration that Pugh petitions for a writ of habeas corpus *pro se*, the court will, *sua sponte*, in the interests of justice, grant Pugh a further opportunity to file a reply to respond to the arguments made by the respondents in their answer.

If Pugh does not file a reply within the time allowed, the court will rule on the merits of the claims remaining in Pugh's habeas petition without the benefit of a reply.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that **the time for petitioner to file and serve a reply** that responds to the arguments made by the respondents in their answer **is extended to January 22, 2016.**

Dated this 5th day of November, 2015



Jennifer A. Dorsey
United States District Judge

¹ ECF 12.

² See ECF 13.